



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,577	08/13/2001	Travis J. Parry	10007333-1	7880
7590 06/15/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			HAILU, TADESSE	
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2173	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/15/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/928,577	PARRY, TRAVIS J.
Examiner	Art Unit
Tadesse Hailu	2173

	i adesse Hallu	21/3	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
 a)		o final rainetian, udaishaw	orio latar In na
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	n SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(and the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS. 	ktension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.
	but prior to the data of filing a brid	of will not be entered	hoosusa
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		·	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate		
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 21-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). <u>2/22/05</u>	
13. Other:	, ,	· · 	
			, ,

Tousuffel

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the submitted arguments are not persuasive, as set forth in the Final Office action, the prima facie case of obviousness has been established, and the three basic criteria for obviousness has been met (see Final Office action, page 4), Wood in view of Roosen teachs the claimed "customizing a printer control panel (Wood, col. 3, lines 55-58, etc); Wood in view of Roosen also teachs "at least one software application configured to provide customizable control panel functionality" (Wood, column 2, lines 49-65, column 3, lines 54-65, column 4, lines 8-33, column 5, lines 3-24). For further response to the argument refer to the Final Office action.